

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





IFAC PapersOnLine 50-1 (2017) 11529-11534

# Sufficient Conditions for Uniform Global Asymptotic Stabilization of Discrete-Time Periodic Bilinear Systems \*

Vasilii Zaitsev<sup>\*</sup>

\* Udmurt State University, Izhevsk, 426034 Russia (e-mail: verba@udm.ru)

**Abstract:** Sufficient conditions for uniform global asymptotic stabilization of the origin by state feedback are obtained for discrete-time bilinear systems with periodic coefficients. It is shown that for bilinear periodic control systems with Lyapunov stable free dynamics the property of consistency is sufficient for existence of stabilizing control.

© 2017, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Asymptotic stabilization, Lyapunov methods, discrete-time systems, bilinear systems, periodic systems.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a bilinear control system with discrete-time dynamics

$$x(t+1) = A(t)x(t) + B(t, x(t))u(t),$$
  

$$B(t, x) = [B_1(t)x, \dots, B_r(t)x] \in M_{n,r}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad (1)$$
  

$$(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^r,$$

where  $A(t), B_i(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), i = \overline{1, r}; M_n(\mathbb{K}) := M_{n,n}(\mathbb{K});$  $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})$  is the space of  $n \times m$ -matrices with elements of the field  $\mathbb{K}$ . We study the problem of asymptotic stabilization of the origin for the system (1) by state feedback. The well-known Jurdjevic–Quinn theorem (see Jurdjevic and Quinn (1978)) provides sufficient conditions for global asymptotic stabilization of the origin for continuous-time autonomous bilinear systems. Later, these sufficient conditions were generalized and extended to affine and general nonlinear systems (see Gauthier (1984), Kalouptsidis and Tsinias (1984), Lee and Arapostathis (1988), Byrnes and Isidori (1989), Byrnes et al. (1991), Outbib and Sallet (1992), Lin (1995b), Lin (1995a), Lin (1996b)).

Similar results were obtained for bilinear, affine, and general nonlinear autonomous systems with the discrete time (see Tsinias (1989), Byrnes et al. (1993), Byrnes and Lin (1994), Lin and Byrnes (1994), Lin (1996a), Grüne and Wirth (1999), Bacciotti and Biglio (2001), Navarro-Lopez et al. (2002), Monaco and Normand-Cyrot (2011), Zaitsev (2015b)).

The approach of damping control was extended to continuous-time time-varying bilinear (Zaitsev (2013a), Zaitsev (2013b)), affine (Zaitsev (2013c), Zaitsev (2013d)), and general nonlinear systems (Zaitsev (2016)) with periodic coefficients.

In this work, we obtain new sufficient conditions for uniform global asymptotic stabilization of the origin for bilinear periodic systems with discrete time dynamics.

# 2. STABILITY OF DISCRETE-TIME PERIODIC LINEAR SYSTEMS

Consider a linear discrete-time system

$$x(t+1) = A(t)x(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \in \mathbb{K}^n,$$
(2)

 $A(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ . Here  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ . Denote by X(t, s) the transition matrix of the system (2). Then  $X(t, s) = A(t-1)A(t-2) \cdot \ldots \cdot A(s)$  if t > s and X(t, s) = I if t = s. Recall that the system (2) is Lyapunov stable iff X(t, 0) is bounded on  $\mathbb{N}$  and the system (2) is asymptotically stable iff  $X(t, 0) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

Denote by T the transposition, by \* the Hermitian conjugation of a vector or a matrix. Suppose  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a Hermitian matrix, i.e.,  $R = R^*$ . It defines the quadratic form  $V(x) = x^*Rx$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  we have  $V(x) \in$  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, let R = P + iS, x = p + iq,  $P, S \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p,q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The equality  $R = R^*$  implies that  $P^T = P$ ,  $S^T = -S$ . It follows that  $V(x) = x^*Rx = (p^T - iq^T)(P + iS)(p+iq) = p^T P p + q^T P q - 2p^T S q$ . This equality implies, in particular, that if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$x^*Rx = x^*(\operatorname{Re} R)x. \tag{3}$$

We identify the quadratic form  $V(x) = x^*Rx$  with the Hermitian matrix  $R = R^*$  defining this form. For the matrices  $R = R^*$ ,  $Q = Q^*$ , the inequality R > Q ( $R \le Q$ ) means that the quadratic form  $V(x) = x^*(R - Q)x$  is positive definite (respectively, negative semi-definite), i.e.,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \ V(x) > 0$  (respectively,  $V(x) \le 0$ ).

Remark 1. It follows from (3) that if  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $R = R^* > 0$ , and  $P = \operatorname{Re} R \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $P^T = P > 0$ , i.e.,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} x^T P x > 0$ .

Consider a linear time-invariant discrete-time system

$$y(t+1) = Fy(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad y \in \mathbb{K}^n,$$
(4)

 $F \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ . The system (4) is Lyapunov stable iff  $F^t$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{N}$  and the system (4) is asymptotically stable iff  $F^t \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . Suppose that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(F)$  of the matrix F satisfy conditions  $|\lambda_j(F)| < 1$ ,  $j = \overline{1, n}$  (i.e., the system (4) is asymptotically stable).

2405-8963 © 2017, IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Hosting by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Peer review under responsibility of International Federation of Automatic Control. 10.1016/j.ifacol.2017.08.1623

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (projects no. 16–01–00346) and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation.

Then for any matrix  $H \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$  such that  $H = H^* > 0$ there exists a  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$  such that  $R^* = R > 0$  and  $F^*RF - R = -H$ . The solution of the last (discretetime Lyapunov) equation is unique and is defined by the formula  $R = H + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (F^*)^{\nu} H F^{\nu}$ . This series converges. Lemma 2. Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  and the system (4) be Lyapunov stable. Then there exists a matrix  $Q = Q^* > 0$  such that  $F^*QF - Q \le 0.$ 

**Proof.** Let the system (4) be Lyapunov stable. Then there exists a matrix  $S \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $F = S^{-1}JS$ , where  $J = \text{diag} \{J_1, J_2\}$ ; here  $J_1 \in M_{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$  is a Jordan matrix,  $|\lambda_i(J_1)| = 1$ ,  $j = \overline{1, \alpha}$ , and the elementary divisors corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(J_1)$  of the matrix  $J_1$ are linear, i.e.,  $J_1 = \text{diag} \{ e^{\delta_1 i}, \dots, e^{\delta_\alpha i} \}, \, \delta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \, j = \overline{1, \alpha};$  $J_2 \in M_{n-\alpha}(\mathbb{C}), |\lambda_j(J_2)| < 1, j = \overline{1, n-\alpha}$ . By the structure of the matrix  $J_1$ , we have  $J_1^*J_1 = I \in M_{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $H \in M_{n-\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$  be an arbitrary Hermitian positive definite matrix, i.e.,  $H = H^* > 0$ . Set  $T = \text{diag} \{0, H\} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $0 \in M_\alpha(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $T^* = T \ge 0$ . Set  $W = S^*TS$ . Then  $W^* = W \ge 0$  also. Since  $|\lambda_j(J_2)| < 1$ , there exists a matrix  $R \in M_{n-\alpha}(\mathbb{C}), R^* = R > 0$ , such that  $J_{2}^{*}RJ_{2} - R = -H < 0$ . Set  $L = \text{diag}\{I, R\} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C}),$ where  $I \in M_{\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $L^* = L > 0$ . Set  $Q = S^*LS$ . Then we have  $Q^* = Q > 0$  also. Thus,

$$\begin{split} F^*QF - Q &= (S^{-1}JS)^*S^*LS(S^{-1}JS) - S^*LS = \\ S^*(J^*LJ - L)S &= S^*\text{diag} \{J_1^*J_1 - I, J_2^*RJ_2 - R\}S = \\ &= S^*\text{diag} \{0, -H\}S = S^*(-T)S = -W \leq 0. \end{split}$$

Remark 3. Suppose that  $F \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in (4), and (4) is Lyapunov stable. Then it follows from Lemma 2, Remark 1 and equality (3) that there exists a  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $P = P^T > 0$  such that  $F^T PF - P \leq 0$ . The matrix P is defined by the equality  $P = \operatorname{Re} Q$ , where Q is constructed by Lemma 2.

The converse to Lemma 2 is obviously true. 

Suppose that the system (2) is periodic, i.e., there exists an  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A(t+\omega) = A(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that there exists a quadratic form  $V(t, x) = x^* R(t) x, x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ ,  $R(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ , satisfying the following conditions for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$R(t + \omega) = R(t), \qquad R^*(t) = R(t) > 0,$$
 (5)

$$(W_A R)(t) := A^*(t)R(t+1)A(t) - R(t) \le 0.$$
 (6)

Conditions (5), (6) are sufficient for Lyapunov (nonasymptotic) stability of the system (2) due to Lyapunov Theorem for discrete-time systems (but are not sufficient for asymptotic stability of the system (2); example:  $A(t) \equiv$  $I, R(t) \equiv I, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). In fact, these conditions are also necessary if the periodic system (2) is reducible, i.e., can be transformed into a time-invariant one by a change of basis.

Lemma 4. Suppose  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , the system (2) is  $\omega$ -periodic and reducible. Then the implication  $1 \Rightarrow 2$  holds for the following statements.

1. The system (2) is Lyapunov stable.

2. There exists a R(t) satisfying conditions (5), (6) for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose the system (2) is  $\omega$ -periodic and reducible. Then there exists an  $\omega$ -periodic nondegenerate (complex, in general) matrix L(t) such that the state-space transformation y(t) = L(t)x(t) reduces the system (2) into a system (4) with a constant matrix  $F \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . That transformation is the Lyapunov transformation, hence, it preserves the property of stability, i.e., the reduced system (4) is Lyapunov stable. The matrices of the systems (2) and (4) are related by the equality

$$A(t) = L^{-1}(t+1)FL(t).$$
 (7)

By Lemma 2, there exists a  $Q = Q^* > 0$  such that  $F^*QF - Q \leq 0$ . Hence, for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$L^{*}(t)(F^{*}QF - Q)L(t) \le 0.$$
 (8)

Let us construct the matrix

$$R(t) = L^*(t)QL(t).$$
(9)

Then  $R(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), R(t+\omega) \equiv R(t), R(t) = R^*(t) > 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Next, using (7), (9), and (8), we obtain  $(W_A R)(t) =$  $= A^{*}(t)R(t+1)A(t) - R(t) = (L^{-1}(t+1)FL(t))^{*}$ .

$$L^{*}(t+1)QL(t+1)(L^{-1}(t+1)FL(t)) - (10) - L^{*}(t)QL(t) = L^{*}(t)(F^{*}QF - Q)L(t) \le 0.$$
e lemma is proved

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. Suppose  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , the system (2) is  $\omega$ -periodic and reducible. Then the implication  $1 \Rightarrow 2$  holds for the following statements.

1. The system (2) is Lyapunov stable.

2. There exists a  $P(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying the following conditions for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$P(t + \omega) = P(t), \qquad P^{T}(t) = P(t) > 0,$$
 (11)

$$(W_A P)(t) := A^T(t)P(t+1)A(t) - P(t) \le 0.$$
(12)

**Proof.** Let us construct the matrix  $R(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  for the matrix  $A(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  by using Lemma 4. Set P(t) =Re R(t). Then  $P(t+\omega) \equiv P(t), P(t) = P^T(t) > 0, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Next,  $(W_A P)(t) = \operatorname{Re}(W_A R)(t)$ . It follows from (3) that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the equality

$$x^{T}(W_{A}P)(t)x = x^{T}(W_{A}R)(t)x$$
(13)

holds. Now,  $(W_A P)(t) < 0$  follows from (10) and (13).  $\Box$ 

Lemma 4 is similar to (Zaitsev, 2013c, Theorem 7) and (Zaitsev, 2013d, Theorem 4) for continuous-time systems. Remark 6. In contrast to continuous-time systems, not every discrete-time periodic system (2) is reducible. The necessary and sufficient conditions for reducibility of a periodic discrete-time linear system (2) into a time-invariant one by a Lyapunov–Floquet transformation are given in Dooren and Sreedhar (1994). In particular, if det  $A(t) \neq 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the periodic system (2) is reducible. If the periodic system (2) is not reducible then the assertion of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 is questionable.

# 3. UNIFORM GLOBAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILIZATION OF DISCRETE-TIME PERIODIC BILINEAR SYSTEMS

Suppose that the system (1) is periodic, i.e., there exists an  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A(t + \omega) = A(t), B_i(t + \omega) = B_i(t),$  $i = \overline{1, r}$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For the corresponding free system (i.e., (1) with  $u(t) \equiv 0$ )

$$x(t+1) = A(t)x(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(14)

we suppose that there exists a quadratic form

$$V(t,x) = x^T P(t)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad P(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{R}),$$
 (15)  
satisfying conditions (11), (12) for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Set

$$G(t,x) = I + \frac{1}{2}B^{T}(t,x)P(t+1)B(t,x).$$
 (16)

Then  $G(t,x) \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $G(t,x) = G(t + \omega, x)$ ,  $G(t,x) = G^T(t,x) \ge I > 0$ , and  $G^{-1}(t,x)$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us construct the control function

$$\widehat{u}(t,x) = -G^{-1}(t,x)B^{T}(t,x)P(t+1)A(t)x.$$
(17)  
Then  $\widehat{u}(t+\omega,x) = \widehat{u}(t,x), t \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ , and

$$\left(B^{T}(t,x)P(t+1)A(t)x\right)^{T} = -\widehat{u}^{T}(t,x)G(t,x).$$
(18)  
Substituting

$$u(t) = \widehat{u}(t, x(t)) \tag{19}$$

into the system (1), we obtain the closed-loop system

$$\begin{split} x(t+1) &= C(t,x(t)) = A(t)x(t) + B(t,x(t)) \widehat{u}(t,x(t)). \enskip (20) \\ \text{We have } C(t+\omega,x) = C(t,x), \ t \in \mathbb{Z}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \ \text{Considering} \\ \text{the difference } \Delta V(t,x(t)) = V(t+1,x(t+1)-V(t,x(t)) \ \text{of} \\ \text{the Lyapunov function (15) along the trajectory of (20),} \\ \text{we obtain} \end{split}$$

$$\Delta V(t, x(t)) = x^{T}(t) (A^{T}(t)P(t+1)A(t) - P(t))x(t) + 2\mu(t, x(t))\hat{u}(t, x(t)),$$

where

$$\mu(t,x) = x^{T} A^{T}(t) P(t+1) B(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{u}^{T}(t,x) B^{T}(t,x) P(t+1) B(t,x). \quad (21)$$

Substituting (18) for the first summand in (21) and taking into account (16), we obtain that  $\mu(t,x) = -\hat{u}^T(t,x)$ . Hence,

$$\Delta V(t, x(t)) = x^{T}(t) (A^{T}(t)P(t+1)A(t) - P(t))x(t) - 2\hat{u}^{T}(t, x(t))\hat{u}(t, x(t)). \quad (22)$$

Thus, by (12), we obtain that  $\Delta V(t, x(t)) \leq 0$ . Hence, the origin of the system (20) is Lyapunov stable. Consider the set

 $E(V) = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n : \Delta V(t, x) = 0\}.$ By (22) and (12), the set E(V) coincides with

 $\widehat{E}(V) = \{(t,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n : x^T(W_A P)(t)x = |\widehat{u}(t,x)| = 0\}.$ Set  $\Omega_0(V) = \{(t,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n : x^T(W_A P)(t)x = 0\},$   $S_0(V) = \{(t,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n : x^T A^T(t) P(t+1)B(t,x) = 0\},$   $E_0(V) = \Omega_0(V) \cap S_0(V).$ By (17),  $\widehat{E}(V) = E_0(V).$ Let M(V) be the largest positive invariant set of the system (20) relative to E(V), i.e., M(V) is the union of all semi-trajectories  $x(t), t \ge t_0$  ( $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), of the system (20) such that  $(t, x(t)) \in E(V)$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ . Then we have  $0 \in M(V)$  because  $\xi_0(t) \equiv 0, t \ge t_0$ , is a solution of (20) and  $\Delta V(t, 0) \equiv 0, t \ge t_0.$ If  $M(V) = \{0\}$ , then the origin of the system (20) is uniformly globally asymptotically (UGA) stable due to the Krasovsky–La Salle invariance principle for discrete-time periodic systems.

Denote by  $\xi(t) = \xi(t, t_0, x_0), t \ge t_0$   $(t_0 \in \mathbb{Z})$ , a solution of the system (20) such that  $\xi(t_0) = x_0$ . Suppose that  $\xi(t) \in M(V), t \ge t_0$ . Since  $E(V) = \hat{E}(V) = E_0(V)$ , we obtain

$$\xi^{T}(t)(W_{A}P)(t)\xi(t) = 0, \quad t \ge t_{0},$$
(23)

$$\xi^{I}(t)A^{I}(t)P(t+1)B(t,\xi(t)) = 0, \quad t \ge t_{0},$$
(24)

and  $\widehat{u}(t,\xi(t)) = 0$ ,  $t \ge t_0$ . By (20),  $\xi(t+1) = A(t)\xi(t)$ ,  $t \ge t_0$ , i.e.,  $\xi(t)$  is a solution of the free system (14). Hence,

 $\xi(t) = X(t, t_0)x_0$ . Substituting this equality in (23), (24), we obtain the equalities

$$x_0^T X^T(t, t_0) (W_A P)(t) X(t, t_0) x_0 \equiv 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$
(25)

$$x_0^I X^I (t+1, t_0) P(t+1) B_i(t) X(t, t_0) x_0 \equiv 0,$$
  
$$t \ge t_0, \quad i = \overline{1, r}.$$
(26)

Let  $M_0(V)$  be the largest positive invariant set of the free system (14) relative to  $E_0(V)$ . Thus, we obtain that  $\xi(t) \in M_0(V), t \ge t_0$ . It follows that  $M(V) \subset M_0(V)$ . Therefore if  $M_0(V) = \{0\}$ , then  $M(V) = \{0\}$ . The condition  $M_0(V) = \{0\}$  means that for any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  the identities (25), (26) hold only if  $x_0 = 0$ . In the last sentence, the phrase "for any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ " can be replaced by the phrase "for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ". Let us prove this fact.

Lemma 7. The following statements are equivalent.

1. For any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  the identities (25), (26) hold only if  $x_0 = 0$ .

2. For some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  the identities (25), (26) hold only if  $x_0 = 0$ .

**Proof.** The implication  $1 \Rightarrow 2$  is obvious. Let us prove the implication  $2 \Rightarrow 1$ . Suppose that for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the identities (25), (26) hold only if  $x_0 = 0$ . Consider an arbitrary  $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . There exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_0 + k\omega \ge t_1$ . Suppose that the identities

$$x_1^T X^T(t,t_1)(W_A P)(t) X(t,t_1) x_1 \equiv 0, \qquad (27)$$

 $x_1^T X^T(t+1,t_1)P(t+1)B_i(t)X(t,t_1)x_1 \equiv 0, \ i = \overline{1,r}, \ (28)$ hold for  $t \ge t_1$ . Then (27), (28) hold for all  $t \ge t_0 + k\omega$  as well. Denote  $x_2 = X(t_0 + k\omega, t_1)x_1$ . It follows from (27), (28) that the identities

$$x_{2}^{T}X^{T}(t, t_{0} + k\omega)(W_{A}P)(t)X(t, t_{0} + k\omega)x_{2} \equiv 0, \quad (29)$$

$$x_{2}^{T}X^{T}(t + 1, t_{0} + k\omega)P(t + 1) \cdot \cdot B_{i}(t)X(t, t_{0} + k\omega)x_{2} \equiv 0, \quad i = \overline{1, r}, \quad (30)$$

hold for  $t \ge t_0 + k\omega$ . Denote  $s = t - k\omega$ . Then  $t = s + k\omega$ . The inequality  $t \ge t_0 + k\omega$  is equivalent to  $s \ge t_0$ . We have  $X(t, t_0 + k\omega) = X(s + k\omega, t_0 + k\omega) = X(s, t_0)$  by  $\omega$ -periodicity of the system (14). Next,  $(W_A P)(t) = (W_A P)(s + k\omega) = (W_A P)(s)$  due to  $\omega$ -periodicity of A(t) and P(t). Thus, (29), (30) mean that

$$x_2^T X^T(s, t_0) (W_A P)(s) X(s, t_0) x_2 \equiv 0,$$
  
$$x_2^T X^T(s+1, t_0) P(s+1) B_i(s) X(s, t_0) x_2 \equiv 0, \quad i = \overline{1, r},$$

 $x_2 X (s+1, t_0) I(s+1) D_i(s) X(s, t_0) x_2 = 0, \quad i = 1, 7,$ for  $s \ge t_0$ . By assumption, it follows that  $x_2 = 0$ , i.e.,

$$X(t_0 + k\omega, t_1)x_1 = 0. (31)$$

Consider the equalities (27) at  $t = t_1, t_1+1, \ldots, t_0+k\omega-1$ . From these equalities, taking into account the definition of  $W_A P$ , we obtain

$$x_1^T P(t_1)x_1 = x_1^T X^T(t_1 + 1, t_1) P(t_1 + 1) X(t_1 + 1, t_1) x_1 =$$
  
=  $x_1^T X^T(t_1 + 2, t_1) P(t_1 + 2) X(t_1 + 2, t_1) x_1 = \dots =$   
=  $x_1^T X^T(t_0 + k_0, t_1) P(t_0 + k_0) X(t_0 + k_0, t_1) x_1$ (32)

 $= x_1^T X^T (t_0 + k\omega, t_1) P(t_0 + k\omega) X(t_0 + k\omega, t_1) x_1.$  (32) It follows from (32) and (31) that  $x_1^T P(t_1) x_1 = 0$ . Since P(t) > 0, we have  $x_1 = 0$ . The lemma is proved.

Thus, the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 8. Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic. Suppose that there exists a matrix P(t) satisfying conditions (11), (12) for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  the identities (25), (26) hold only if  $x_0 = 0$ . Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1).

Theorem 8 is similar to (Zaitsev, 2013c, Theorem 8) and (Zaitsev, 2013d, Theorem 5) for continuous-time systems. *Corollary 9.* Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic, the system (14) be reducible and Lyapunov stable, and for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the identities (25), (26) hold only if  $x_0 = 0$ , where the matrix P(t) is constructed by Lemma 4. Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1).

Corollary 9 follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 8.

Let us construct the following matrices:  $N_1(\tau, x) = B(\tau, x)$ ,  $N_{i+1}(\tau, x) = [A(\tau+i)N_i(\tau, x), B(\tau+i, X(\tau+i, \tau)x], i \ge 1$ . Note that  $N_i(\tau+\omega, x) = N_i(\tau, x) \in M_{n,ir}(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Theorem 10. Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic. Suppose that there exists a matrix P(t) satisfying conditions (11), (12) for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that the following condition holds:

 $\exists t_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \ \exists \nu \geq 1 \ \text{rank} N_{\nu}(t_0, x) = n.$  (33) Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1).

**Proof.** Let us prove that  $M_0(V) = 0$  under the assumptions of the theorem. Then, by the proof of Theorem 8, the theorem will be proved. We prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose  $M_0(V) \neq 0$ . Then there exist  $t_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x_1 \neq 0$ , such that the solution  $\xi(t) = \xi(t, t_1, x_1)$  of the free system (14) satisfies the condition  $\xi(t) \in M_0(V)$  for all  $t \geq t_1$ . Hence, identities (27), (28) hold for  $t \geq t_1$ . Let us show that  $\xi(t, t_1, x_1) \neq 0$  for any  $t \geq t_1$ . Suppose that  $\xi(t_2, t_1, x_1) = 0$  for some  $t_2 \geq t_1$ . Hence,  $X(t_2, t_1)x_1 = 0$ . By (27) at  $t = t_1, t_1 + 1, \ldots, t_2 - 1$ , we obtain similarly to (32):

$$x_1^T P(t_1) x_1 = x_1^T X^T(t_1 + 1, t_1) P(t_1 + 1) X(t_1 + 1, t_1) x_1 =$$
  
= ... =  $x_1^T X^T(t_2, t_1) P(t_2) X(t_2, t_1) x_1 = 0.$ 

Since P(t) > 0, we have  $x_1 = 0$ . This is contradiction. Hence,  $\xi(t, t_1, x_1) \neq 0, t \geq t_1$ . Let us construct the number  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  from condition (33). By periodicity of  $N_i(\tau, x)$ , one can assume without loss of generality that  $t_0 > t_1$ . Set  $x_0 = \xi(t_0, t_1, x_1)$ . Hence,

$$\xi(t, t_0, x_0) \neq 0, \quad t \ge t_0. \tag{34}$$

Let us construct for  $x_0 \neq 0$  the number  $\nu \geq 1$  from condition (33) such that rank  $N_{\nu}(t_0, x_0) = n$ . Since  $\xi(t, t_0, x_0) \in M_0(V)$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ , the equalities (25), (26) hold.

Consider the function  $\varphi(t,x) = x^T(W_A P)(t)x$ . We have  $\Omega_0(V) = \{(t,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(t,x) = 0\}$ . The function  $\varphi(t,x)$  attains its maximum at any point  $(\tilde{t},\tilde{x}) \in \Omega_0(V)$  because  $\varphi(t,x) \leq 0$  for all  $(t,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , by (12). Consequently,  $(\partial \varphi / \partial x)(\tilde{t},\tilde{x}) = 0$  for any  $(\tilde{t},\tilde{x}) \in \Omega_0(V)$ . We have  $(\partial \varphi / \partial x)(t,x) = 2x^T(W_A P)(t)$ . Therefore for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any function  $(s,y) \to z(s,y) \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})$  the equality

$$\widetilde{x}^{T} \big( A^{T}(\widetilde{t}) P(\widetilde{t}+1) A(\widetilde{t}) - P(\widetilde{t}) \big) z(s,y) = 0, (\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{x}) \in \Omega_{0}(V), \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$
(35)

holds. Equality (26) for  $t = t_0$  implies that

$$x_0^T A^T(t_0) P(t_0+1) B_i(t_0) x_0 = 0, \quad i = \overline{1, r}.$$

This means that the row vector  $x_0^T A^T(t_0) P(t_0 + 1)$  is orthogonal to the columns of the matrix  $N_1(t_0, x_0)$ .

Let us prove, by induction, the following assertion  $(\mathcal{A})$ : for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the row vector  $x_0^T X^T(t_0 + k, t_0) P(t_0 + k)$  is orthogonal to the columns of the matrix  $N_k(t_0, x_0)$ . The basis for k = 1 is proved. Assume  $(\mathcal{A})$  holds for k = i, i.e.,

$$x_0^T X^T (t_0 + i, t_0) P(t_0 + i) N_i(t_0, x_0) = 0.$$
 (36)

By (25), the inclusion  $(t, \xi(t, t_0, x_0)) \in \Omega_0(V)$  holds for all  $t \ge t_0$ . Substituting  $i \cdot r$  for  $m, t_0$  for  $s, x_0$  for  $y, t_0 + i$  for  $\tilde{t}, X(t_0+i, t_0)x_0$  for  $\tilde{x}, N_i(t_0, x_0)$  for z(s, y) in (35), we get

$$x_0^T X^T(t_0 + i, t_0) \left( A^T(t_0 + i) P(t_0 + i + 1) A(t_0 + i) - P(t_0 + i) \right) N_i(t_0, x_0) = 0.$$

Taking into account (36), we obtain

$$x_0^T X^T (t_0 + i + 1, t_0) P(t_0 + i + 1) \cdot A(t_0 + i) N_i(t_0, x_0) = 0.$$
(37)

It follows from (37) and (26) at  $t = t_0 + i$  that

$$x_0^T X^T (t_0 + i + 1, t_0) P(t_0 + i + 1) \cdot [A(t_0 + i)N_i(t_0, x_0), B(t_0 + i, X(t_0 + i, t_0)x_0] = 0$$

Thus, assertion ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, for  $k = \nu$ , we have

$$x_0^T X^T (t_0 + \nu, t_0) P(t_0 + \nu) N_{\nu}(t_0, x_0) = 0.$$

Since rank  $N_{\nu}(t_0, x_0) = n$ , we obtain

$$x_0^T X^T (t_0 + \nu, t_0) P(t_0 + \nu) = 0.$$

Since P(t) > 0, we have  $X(t_0 + \nu, t_0)x_0 = 0$ . This contradicts (34). The theorem is proved.

Let us construct the subspaces

$$\Gamma(t,t_0) = \operatorname{span} \{B_i(t)A(t-1)\cdot\ldots\cdot A(t_0), \\ A(t)B_i(t-1)A(t-2)\cdot\ldots\cdot A(t_0),\ldots, \\ A(t)A(t-1)\cdot\ldots\cdot A(t_0+1)B_i(t_0), \quad i=\overline{1,r}\} \subset M_n(\mathbb{R})$$
and

$$\Delta(t, t_0, x) = \Gamma(t, t_0) x \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $t_0, t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \geq t_0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Obviously, the linear span of the column vectors of the matrix  $N_{\nu}(t_0, x)$  coincides with the space  $\Delta(t_0 + \nu - 1, t_0, x)$ .

Theorem 11. Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic. Suppose that there exists a matrix P(t) satisfying conditions (11), (12) for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that at least one of the following conditions holds:

(i) 
$$\exists t_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall x \neq 0 \quad \exists t \ge t_0 \quad \dim \Delta(t, t_0, x) = n;$$

(*ii*)  $\exists t_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \exists t \ge t_0 \quad \Gamma(t, t_0) = M_n(\mathbb{R}).$ 

Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1).

Theorem 11 follows from Theorem 10 because condition (i) is equivalent to (33) and (ii) is sufficient for (i). Theorem 11 is similar to (Zaitsev, 2013d, Theorem 7.1) for continuous-time systems.

Corollary 12. Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic, the system (14) be reducible and Lyapunov stable, and at least one of conditions (i), (ii) holds. Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1); here P(t) is a matrix satisfying conditions (11), (12).

Corollary 12 follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 11. Corollaries 9 and 12 are similar to (Zaitsev, 2013d, Corollary 1) for continuous-time systems.

# 4. CONSISTENT SYSTEMS

The system (1) is said to be consistent on the interval  $[t_0, t_1)$   $(t_1 > t_0)$  if for every matrix  $G \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  there exists a control function  $\tilde{u}(t) = (\tilde{u}_1(t), \ldots, \tilde{u}_r(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $t \in [t_0, t_1)$ , such that the solution of the matrix equation

$$Z(t+1) = A(t)Z(t) + (\widetilde{u}_1(t)B_1(t) + \dots + \widetilde{u}_r(t)B_r(t))X(t,t_0), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with the initial condition  $Z(t_0) = 0$  satisfies the condition  $Z(t_1) = G$ .

The definition of consistency was introduced for the discrete-time system (1) and for a linear system closed-loop by a linear output feedback in Zaitsev (2014) similarly to the definition for continuous-time systems (see references in Zaitsev (2014)). This notion was studied in Zaitsev (2014), Zaitsev (2015a) in the connection with the eigenvalue assignment problem for time-invariant bilinear discrete-time systems.

Theorem 13. The system (1) is consistent on  $[t_0, \vartheta) \iff$  $\Gamma(\vartheta - 1, t_0) = M_n(\mathbb{R}).$ 

The proof is given in (Zaitsev, 2014, Equality (8)). Theorem 13 is similar to (Zaitsev, 2013c, Proposition 13) for continuous-time systems.

Thus, if the system (1) is consistent on some  $[t_0, \vartheta)$  then condition (*ii*) of Theorem 11 holds, where  $t = \vartheta - 1$ . Therefore, we have the following statements.

Theorem 14. Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic. Suppose that there exists a matrix P(t) satisfying conditions (11), (12) for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that the system (1) is consistent on some  $[t_0, \vartheta)$ . Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1).

Theorem 14 follows from Theorems 11 and 13.

Corollary 15. Let the system (1) be  $\omega$ -periodic and consistent on some  $[t_0, \vartheta)$ , and the system (14) be reducible and Lyapunov stable. Then the state feedback control (19) UGA stabilizes the origin of the system (1); here P(t) is a matrix satisfying conditions (11), (12).

Corollary 15 follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 14. Corollary 15 is similar to (Zaitsev, 2013c, Corollary 14) for continuous-time systems.

#### 5. TIME-INVARIANT SYSTEMS

Suppose the system (1) is time-invariant, i.e.  $A(t) \equiv A$ ,  $B_i(t) \equiv B_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, r}$ . Then the free system (14) is time-invariant (hence, reducible) and Lyapunov stability of the free system (14) is equivalent to existence of a stationary matrix  $P(t) \equiv P$  satisfying conditions (11), (12).

We obtain that Theorem 8 and Corollary 9 coincides with (Byrnes et al., 1993, Theorem 2.1), where g(x) = B(x); Theorem 11 and Corollary 12 with (*i*) coincides with (Zaitsev, 2015b, Theorem 7); Theorem 11 and Corollary 12 with (*ii*) coincides with (Zaitsev, 2015b, Theorem 8); Theorem 14 and Corollary 15 coincides with (Zaitsev, 2015b, Theorem 9).

#### 6. EXAMPLE

Consider the discrete-time bilinear system

$$x(t+1) = (A(t) + u(t)B(t))x(t),$$
  
(t, x, u)  $\in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R};$  (38)

$$A(t) := \begin{vmatrix} \cos(\pi t/2) & -\sin(\pi t/2) \\ \sin(\pi t/2) & \cos(\pi t/2) \end{vmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z};$$
(39)

$$B(0) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad B(1) := \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}, B(2) := \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad B(3) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix};$$
(40)  
$$B(t+4) := B(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t \ge 0; B(t) := B(t+4), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t < 0.$$

This system is  $\omega$ -periodic with the period  $\omega = 4$ . The matrix P = I satisfies conditions (11), (12). Suppose  $t_0 = 0, t_1 = 2$ . Let us construct  $\Delta(t_1, t_0, x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . We have  $B(2)A(1)A(0) = \| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \|, \quad A(2)B(1)A(0) = \| \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \|,$  $A(2)A(1)B(0) = \| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \|.$  Suppose  $x_0 = \operatorname{col}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $\Delta(t_1, t_0, x_0) = \operatorname{span}\{z_1, z_2, z_3\} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $z_1 = \operatorname{col}(\alpha + \beta, \alpha + 2\beta),$  $z_2 = \operatorname{col}(-2\alpha - \beta, -\alpha - \beta),$ 

We have

$$d_1 := \det[z_1, z_2] = \alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta + \beta^2, d_2 := \det[z_1, z_3] = -2\alpha^2 + 4\beta^2.$$

 $z_3 = \operatorname{col}(\alpha - \beta, -\alpha + 2\beta).$ 

The equalities  $d_1 = d_2 = 0$  holds only if  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . Hence, if  $x_0 \neq 0$  then span  $\Delta(2, 0, x_0) = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus, condition (i) of Theorem 11 is satisfied. Therefore, the system (38), (39), (40) is UGA stabilizable by the feedback control  $u(t) = \hat{u}(t, x(t))$ . Here the feedback control law has the form (17):

$$\begin{split} \widehat{u}(0,x) &= -2(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2^2)/(2 + 2x_1^2 - 6x_1x_2 + 5x_2^2), \\ \widehat{u}(1,x) &= -2(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2^2)/(2 + 5x_1^2 + 6x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2), \\ \widehat{u}(2,x) &= -2(x_1^2 + x_1x_2 - x_2^2)/(2 + 5x_1^2 - 6x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2), \\ \widehat{u}(3,x) &= -2(-x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2)/(2 + 2x_1^2 + 6x_1x_2 + 5x_2^2), \\ \widehat{u}(t + 4, x) &= \widehat{u}(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t \ge 0; \\ \widehat{u}(t,x) &= \widehat{u}(t + 4, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t < 0. \end{split}$$

Remark 16. Note that the system (38), (39), (40) cannot be stabilizable by any non-feedback control  $u(t) = \tilde{u}(t)$  depending only on t. In fact, the system (38) with  $u(t) = \tilde{u}(t)$ is linear in x. One can check that  $\det(A(t)+\tilde{u}(t)B(t)) = 1+$  $(\tilde{u}(t))^2$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, for the transition matrix  $X_{\tilde{u}}(t,s)$  of the system (38) with  $u(t) = \tilde{u}(t)$ , we have

$$\det X_{\widetilde{u}}(t,0) = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} (1 + (\widetilde{u}(i))^2) \ge 1$$

for all t > 0, i.e., det  $X_{\widetilde{u}}(t,0) \not\to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . Thus the system (38) with  $u(t) = \widetilde{u}(t)$  is not asymptotically stable.

#### 7. CONCLUSION

We have extended the results on UGA stabilization of discrete-time autonomous bilinear homogeneous systems obtained in Byrnes et al. (1993), Byrnes and Lin (1994), Lin and Byrnes (1994), Zaitsev (2015b) to nonautonomous periodic discrete-time bilinear homogeneous systems. It seems true that one can extend the results of Byrnes et al. (1993), Byrnes and Lin (1994), Lin and Byrnes (1994) on UGA stabilization of discrete-time affine and general nonlinear autonomous systems to periodic systems.

#### REFERENCES

- Bacciotti, A. and Biglio, A. (2001). Some remarks about stability of nonlinear discrete-time control systems. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, 8(4), 425–438. doi:10.1007/PL00001456.
- Byrnes, C. and Isidori, A. (1989). New results and examples in nonlinear feedback stabilization. *Systems* and Control Letters, 12(5), 437–442. doi:10.1016/0167-6911(89)90080-7.
- Byrnes, C., Isidori, A., and Willems, J. (1991). Passivity, feedback equivalence, and the global stabilization of minimum phase nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 36(11), 1228–1240. doi: 10.1109/9.100932.
- Byrnes, C. and Lin, W. (1994). Losslessness, feedback equivalence, and the global stabilization of discrete-time nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 39(1), 83–98. doi:10.1109/9.273341.
- Byrnes, C., Lin, W., and Ghosh, B. (1993). Stabilization of discrete-time nonlinear systems by smooth state feedback. Systems and Control Letters, 21(3), 255–263. doi: 10.1016/0167-6911(93)90036-6.
- Dooren, P.V. and Sreedhar, J. (1994). When is a periodic discrete-time system equivalent to a time-invariant one? *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 212–213, 131–151. doi:10.1016/0024-3795(94)90400-6.
- Gauthier, J. (1984). Structure des systemes non lineaires. Editions du CNRS, Paris.
- Grüne, L. and Wirth, F. (1999). Feedback stabilization of discrete-time homogeneous semi-linear systems. Systems and Control Letters, 37(1), 19–30. doi: 10.1016/S0167-6911(98)00110-8.
- Jurdjevic, V. and Quinn, J. (1978). Controllability and stability. Journal of Differential Equations, 28(3), 381– 389. doi:10.1016/0022-0396(78)90135-3.
- Kalouptsidis, N. and Tsinias, J. (1984). Stability improvement of nonlinear systems by feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 29(4), 364–367. doi: 10.1109/TAC.1984.1103518.
- Lee, K. and Arapostathis, A. (1988). Remarks on smooth feedback stabilization of nonlinear systems. *Systems* and Control Letters, 10(1), 41–44. doi:10.1016/0167-6911(88)90038-2.
- Lin, W. (1995a). Bounded smooth state feedback and a global separation principle for non-affine nonlinear systems. Systems and Control Letters, 26(1), 41–53. doi: 10.1016/0167-6911(94)00109-9.
- Lin, W. (1995b). Feedback stabilization of general nonlinear control systems: A passive system approach. *Systems* and Control Letters, 25(1), 41–52. doi:10.1016/0167-6911(94)00056-2.
- Lin, W. (1996a). Further results on global stabilization of discrete nonlinear systems. Systems and Control Letters, 29(1), 51–59. doi:10.1016/0167-6911(96)00037-0.
- Lin, W. (1996b). Global asymptotic stabilization of general nonlinear systems with stable free dynamics via passivity and bounded feedback. *Automatica*, 32(6), 915–924. doi:10.1016/0005-1098(96)00013-1.

- Lin, W. and Byrnes, C. (1994). KYP lemma, state feedback and dynamic output feedback in discrete-time bilinear systems. Systems and Control Letters, 23(2), 127–136. doi:10.1016/0167-6911(94)90042-6.
- Monaco, S. and Normand-Cyrot, D. (2011). Nonlinear average passivity and stabilizing controllers in discrete time. *Systems and Control Letters*, 60(6), 431–439. doi: 10.1016/j.sysconle.2011.03.010.
- Navarro-Lopez, E., Sira-Ramirez, H., and Fossac-Colet, E. (2002). Dissipativity and feedback dissipativity properties of general nonlinear discrete-time systems. *European Journal of Control*, 8(3), 265–274. doi: 10.3166/ejc.8.265-274.
- Outbib, R. and Sallet, G. (1992). Stabilizability of the angular velocity of a rigid body revisited. *Systems and Control Letters*, 18(2), 93–98. doi:10.1016/0167-6911(92)90013-I.
- Tsinias, J. (1989). Stabilizability of discrete-time nonlinear systems. IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information, 6(2), 135–150. doi:10.1093/imamci/6.2.135.
- Zaitsev, V. (2013a). Generalization of the Jurdjevic–Quinn theorem and stabilization of bilinear control systems with periodic coefficients: I. *Differential Equations*, 49(1), 101–111. doi:10.1134/S0012266113010102.
- Zaitsev, V. (2013b). Generalization of the Jurdjevic– Quinn theorem and stabilization of bilinear control systems with periodic coefficients: II. *Differential Equations*, 49(3), 336–345. doi:10.1134/S0012266113030099.
- Zaitsev, V. (2013c). Global asymptotic stabilization of affine periodic systems by damping control. *IFAC Pro*ceedings Volumes (*IFAC-PapersOnline*), 46(12), 166– 170. doi:10.3182/20130703-3-FR-4039.00009.
- Zaitsev, V. (2013d). Stabilization of affine control systems with periodic coefficients. *Differential Equations*, 49(12), 1619–1628. doi:10.1134/S001226611312015X.
- Zaitsev, V. (2014). Consistency and eigenvalue assignment for discrete-time bilinear systems: I. *Differential Equations*, 50(11), 1495–1507. doi: 10.1134/S001226611411007X.
- Zaitsev, V. (2015a). Consistency and eigenvalue assignment for discrete-time bilinear systems: II. Differential Equations, 51(4), 510–522. doi: 10.1134/S0012266115040084.
- Zaitsev, V. (2015b). Stabilization of stationary affine control systems with discrete time. *Differential Equations*, 51(12), 1637–1648. doi:10.1134/S0012266115120113.
- Zaitsev, V. (2016). Global asymptotic stabilization of periodic nonlinear systems with stable free dynamics. Systems and Control Letters, 91, 7–13. doi: 10.1016/j.sysconle.2016.01.004.