= SHORT COMMUNICATIONS =

# Control of Spectrum in Bilinear Systems

V. A. Zaitsev

Udmurt State University, Izhevsk, Russia Received March 28, 2008

Abstract—For a bilinear stationary control system, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the spectrum control problem for the case in which the coefficients have a special form.

DOI: 10.1134/S0012266110070153

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be a Euclidean space of dimension n; let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be a canonical basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ [i.e.,  $e_1 = \operatorname{col}(1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_n = \operatorname{col}(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ ]; let  $M_{m,n}$  be the space of real  $m \times n$  matrices; let  $M_n := M_{n,n}$ ; let  $I = [e_1, \ldots, e_n] \in M_n$  be the unit matrix; let \* be the operation of transposition of a vector or a matrix [if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a column vector, then  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n^*}$  is a row vector]; let  $J_0 := I$ ; let  $J_1$  be the first unit superdiagonal [i.e.,  $J_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i e_{i+1}^* \in M_n$ ]; let  $J_k := J_1^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$  (i.e.,  $J_k = 0 \in M_n$  for  $k \ge n$ ); let  $\chi(A; \lambda)$  be the characteristic polynomial of a matrix A; and let Sp A be the trace of a matrix A.

Consider the linear control system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)u, \qquad y = C^*(t)x, \qquad (t, x, u, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k.$$
(1)

Let the control in system (1) be constructed by the linear incomplete feedback principle in the form u = Uy. Then system (1) becomes the homogeneous system

$$\dot{x} = (A(t) + B(t)UC^{*}(t))x.$$
 (2)

Along with system (2), consider the bilinear control system

$$\dot{x} = (A(t) + u_1 A_1(t) + u_2 A_2(t) + \dots + u_r A_r(t)) x, \qquad u_l \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(3)

Any system of the form (2) can be represented in the form (3) if we set r := mk, take the coefficients of the matrix U for  $u_l$ , l = 1, ..., r, and take the matrices  $b_i(t)c_j^*(t) \in M_n$  for the matrices  $A_l(t)$ , l = 1, ..., r, where the  $b_i(t)$ , i = 1, ..., m, are the columns of the matrix B(t) and the  $c_j(t)$ , j = 1, ..., k, are the columns of the matrix C(t),

$$\dot{x} = \left(A(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{ij}(b_i(t)c_j^*(t))\right) x.$$

Systems of the form (2) were studied in [1-8], and systems of the form (3), in [7, 9-11]. The consistency property was introduced in [1] for system (2) and in [9] for system (3). This property was analyzed in [1, 4, 5, 7, 9]. The consistency property was used in the proof of the local controllability of Lyapunov exponents of system (2) in [2-6], the stability of Lyapunov exponents of system (2) in [3], the local attainability and local Lyapunov reducibility of system (3) in [9-11], and the local controllability of Lyapunov exponents in [10] and Izobov exponents of system (3) in [11].

In the present paper, we consider stationary systems of the form (2) and (3),

$$\dot{x} = (A + BUC^*)x, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4}$$

$$\dot{x} = (A + u_1 A_1 + \dots + u_r A_r) x, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(5)

#### ZAITSEV

In the case of stationary systems, the asymptotic behavior of a system is characterized by the spectrum of the system matrix. We consider the problem on the global control of the spectrum.

**Definition 1.** We say that the spectrum control problem for the matrix  $A + u_1A_1 + \cdots + u_rA_r$ of system (5) is solvable if, for any polynomial  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + \gamma_1\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_n$  of degree *n* with real coefficients  $\gamma_i$ , there exists a constant control  $u = \operatorname{col}(u_1, \ldots, u_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  that ensures the relation

$$\chi(A + u_1 A_1 + \dots + u_r A_r; \lambda) = \lambda^n + \gamma_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \gamma_n$$
(6)

for the characteristic polynomial  $\chi$  of the coefficient matrix of the system.

This problem is also referred to as the eigenvalue assignment problem [12, p. 159]. For C = I, the spectrum control problem for system (4) is solvable if and only if system (1) is completely controllable [13, 14]. A survey of known sufficient and necessary solvability conditions in this problem for system (4) can be found in [12, pp. 179–181]. New solvability conditions for this problem for system (4) were obtained in [15]; in contrast to the conditions given in [12], they are both necessary and sufficient. In the present paper, the results obtained for system (4) in [15] are generalized to system (5).

Let the coefficients of system (5) have the following form: the matrix A has the Hessenberg form, and the first p-1 rows and the last n-p columns in the matrices  $A_l$ , l = 1, ..., r, are zero; i.e.,

$$A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{n}, \quad a_{i,i+1} \neq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad a_{ij} = 0, \quad j > i+1; \\ A_l = \{a_{ij}^l\}_{i,j=1}^{n}, \quad a_{ij}^l = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p-1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \\ a_{ij}^l = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = p+1, \dots, n; \quad l = 1, \dots, r; \quad p \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

$$(7)$$

By  $\lambda^n + \alpha_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + \alpha_n$  we denote the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A.

For the matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ , we construct the matrix S just as in [15]. Namely, we first construct the matrix

$$S_1 = \{s_{ij}^1\}_{i,j=1}^n, \quad s_{11}^1 := 1, \quad s_{1j}^1 := 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n; \quad s_{ij}^1 := a_{i-1,j}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Further, for each l = 2, ..., n and for the matrix  $S_{l-1} = \{s_{ij}^{l-1}\}_{i,j=1}^{n}$ , we construct the matrix  $S_l = \{s_{ij}^l\}_{i,j=1}^{n}$  with entries  $s_{11}^l := 1, s_{1j}^l := s_{j1}^l := 0, j = 2, ..., n$ , and  $s_{ij}^l := s_{i-1,j-1}^{l-1}, i, j = 2, ..., n$ . Set  $S = S_n S_{n-1} \cdots S_1$ . All matrices  $S_l$  and S are lower triangular and nonsingular. Next, we construct the matrices  $H_i := SA_iS^{-1}, i = 1, ..., r$ , and  $H := SAS^{-1}$ . Then the matrices  $H_i$ , i = 1, ..., r, have the same form as  $A_i, i = 1, ..., r$ ; i.e., the first p-1 rows and the last n-p columns of the matrices  $H_i, i = 1, ..., r$ , are zero. The matrix H has the following form [15, Lemma 3]:  $H = J_1 + e_n \xi$ , where  $\xi = (-\alpha_n, ..., -\alpha_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n*}$ . We have

$$\chi(A+u_1A_1+\cdots+u_rA_r;\lambda)=\chi(H+u_1H_1+\cdots+u_rH_r;\lambda).$$
(8)

Let us construct the matrix  $G := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i-1} J_{i-1}^{*}$ , where  $\alpha_0 := 1$ .

Let  $h_j^i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the *j*th column of the matrix  $H_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . For the matrices  $H_i = [h_1^i, h_2^i, \ldots, h_n^i]$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ , we construct the  $n \times r$  matrices  $P_1 = [h_1^1, \ldots, h_1^r], \ldots, P_n = [h_n^1, \ldots, h_n^r]$ . Then we construct the  $n \times r$  matrix  $Q = J_0 G P_1 + J_1 G P_2 + \cdots + J_{n-1} G P_n$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let system (5) with the matrices (7) be given, and let

$$\lambda^n + \gamma_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + \gamma_n := \chi (A + u_1 A_1 + \dots + u_r A_r; \lambda)$$

Then coefficients  $\gamma_i$  of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix  $A + u_1A_1 + \cdots + u_rA_r$  can be expressed via the coefficients of system (5), (7) as follows:

$$\gamma = \alpha - Qu, \tag{9}$$

where  $\gamma = \operatorname{col}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$  and  $\alpha = \operatorname{col}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ .

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 46 No. 7 2010

**Theorem 2.** The spectrum control problem for system (5) with the matrices (7) is solvable if and only if the rows of the matrix Q are linearly independent; in addition, the control u bringing  $\chi(A + u_1A_1 + \cdots + u_rA_r; \lambda)$  to a given polynomial  $p(\lambda)$  with coefficients  $\gamma_i$  can be found from system (9).

Theorem 2 obviously follows from Theorem 1. Indeed, if the rows of the matrix Q are linearly independent, then for any  $\gamma$  the linear system (9) is solvable for u, and the solution u of this system ensures relation (6). If the rows of the matrix Q are linearly dependent, then system (9) is not necessarily solvable for any  $\gamma$ ; consequently, the spectrum control problem is unsolvable. It remains to prove Theorem 1.

**Remark 1.** It follows from Theorem 2 that the condition  $r \ge n$  is a necessary solvability condition in the spectrum control problem for system (5).

**Remark 2.** Of the matrices  $P_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, the last n - p matrices are zero; therefore, for Q one can take the matrix  $Q = J_0 G P_1 + J_1 G P_2 + \cdots + J_{p-1} G P_p$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** To prove the desired assertion, we need Lemma 4 in [15].

Let system (5) be a given system with the matrices (7), and let relation (6) hold. For the matrices A and  $A_i$ , i = 1, ..., r, we construct matrices H and  $H_i$ , i = 1, ..., r. By virtue of (8), we obtain  $\chi(H + u_1H_1 + \cdots + u_rH_r; \lambda) = \lambda^n + \gamma_1\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + \gamma_n$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4 in [15], we obtain the relations

$$\gamma_i = \alpha_i - \operatorname{Sp}(u_1 H_1 + \dots + u_r H_r) J_{i-1} G$$
  
=  $\alpha_i - (u_1 \operatorname{Sp}(H_1 J_{i-1} G) + \dots + u_r \operatorname{Sp}(H_r J_{i-1} G)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$  (10)

Relations (10) can be represented in the vector form  $\gamma = \alpha - Tu$ . Here  $T \in M_{n,r}$  and

$$T = \| \operatorname{Sp}(H_j J_{i-1} G) \|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

It remains to show that T = Q. We introduce the mapping vec :  $M_n \to \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , which "expands" the matrix  $F \in M_n$ ,  $F = \{f_{ij}\}, i, j = 1, ..., n$ , by rows in the column vector

$$\operatorname{vec} F := \operatorname{col}(f_{11}, \ldots, f_{1n}, \ldots, f_{n1}, \ldots, f_{nn}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$$

Obviously,  $\operatorname{Sp}(F_1^*F_2) = (\operatorname{vec} F_1)^*(\operatorname{vec} F_2) = (\operatorname{vec} F_2)^*(\operatorname{vec} F_1)$ . Consider the first row of the matrix T. Then for all  $l = 1, \ldots, r$ , we have  $\operatorname{Sp}(H_l J_0 G) = (\operatorname{vec}(J_0 G))^*(\operatorname{vec}(H_l^*))$ . For the second row of the matrix T, we have the relation

$$Sp(H_l J_1 G) = (vec(J_1 G))^* (vec(H_l^*)), \qquad l = 1, \dots, r,$$

and so on; for the *i*th row of the matrix T (i = 1, ..., n), we have

$$\operatorname{Sp}(H_l J_{i-1} G) = (\operatorname{vec}(J_{i-1} G))^* (\operatorname{vec}(H_l^*)), \qquad l = 1, \dots, r.$$

Let us construct the matrices

$$L = \left\| \begin{array}{c} (\operatorname{vec}(J_0G))^* \\ (\operatorname{vec}(J_1G))^* \\ \\ \\ \cdots \\ (\operatorname{vec}(J_{n-1}G))^* \end{array} \right\| \in M_{n,n^2}, \qquad N = \left\| \operatorname{vec}(H_1^*), \dots, \operatorname{vec}(H_r^*) \right\| \in M_{n^2,r}.$$

Then T = LN.

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 46 No. 7 2010

Consider the matrices  $J_iG$ . We have

$$J_0 G = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-3} & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} ,$$
  
$$J_1 G = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-2} & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} , \qquad J_{n-1} G = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{n-1} & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} .$$

We expand these matrices by rows in column vectors, transpose them into rows, and form the matrix L. Then one can note that the resulting matrix has the block form

$$L = \left\| [J_0G], [J_1G], \dots, [J_{n-1}G] \right\| \in M_{n,n^2}.$$

Now consider the matrix N. We have

$$\operatorname{vec}(H_1^*) = \left| \begin{array}{c} h_1^1 \\ h_2^1 \\ \vdots \\ h_n^1 \end{array} \right| \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}, \quad \dots, \quad \operatorname{vec}(H_r^*) = \left| \begin{array}{c} h_1^r \\ h_2^r \\ \vdots \\ h_n^r \end{array} \right| \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \implies N = \left| \begin{array}{c} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \vdots \\ P_n \end{array} \right| \in M_{n^2,r}.$$

Consequently,  $T = LN = J_0GP_1 + J_1GP_2 + \cdots + J_{n-1}GP_n = Q$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 06-01-00258).

## REFERENCES

- 1. Popova, S.N. and Tonkov, E.L., Control Over the Lyapunov Exponents of Consistent Systems. I, Differential Equations, 1994, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 1556–1564.
- Popova, S.N. and Tonkov, E.L., Control Over the Lyapunov Exponents of Consistent Systems. II, Differential Equations, 1994, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 1800-1807.
- Popova, S.N. and Tonkov, E.L., Control Over the Lyapunov Exponents of Consistent Systems. III, Differential Equations, 1995, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 209-218.
- Popova, S.N. and Tonkov, E.L., Uniform Consistency of Linear Systems, Differential Equations, 1995, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 672-674.
- Popova, S.N. and Tonkov, E.L., Consistent Systems and Control of Lyapunov Exponents, Differential Equations, 1997, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 226-235.
- Makarov, E.K. and Popova, S.N., Local Controllability of Characteristic Lyapunov Exponents of Systems with Simple Exponents, *Differ. Uravn.*, 1997, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 495–499.
- Zaitsev, V.A., Consistency and Control of Lyapunov Exponents, Izv. Inst. Mat. Inform. Udmurt. Gos. Univ., Izhevsk, 1999, vol. 2 (17), pp. 3-40.
- 8. Popova, S.N., Local Attainability for Linear Control Systems, *Differential Equations*, 2003, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 51–58.

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 46 No. 7 2010

1074

- 9. Zaitsev, V.A. and Tonkov, Ye.L., Attainability, Consistency and the Rotation Method by V.M. Millionshchikov, *Russian Mathematics*, 1999, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 42–52.
- 10. Tonkov, E.L., Uniform Attainability and Lyapunov Reducibility of Bilinear Control System, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 2000, Suppl. 1, pp. S228–S253.
- 11. Tonkov, E.L., Lyapunov Reducibility of a Linear System, Stabilization, and Control of Izobov Exponents, Tr. Inst. Mat. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi, Minsk, 2000, vol. 4, pp. 146–155.
- 12. Leonov, G.A. and Shumafov, M.M., Metody stabilizatsii lineinykh upravlyaemykh sistem (Stabilization Methods for Linear Control Systems), St. Petersburg, 2005.
- 13. Popov, V.M., *Giperustoichivost' avtomaticheskikh sistem* (Hyperstability of Automatic Systems), Moscow: Nauka, 1970.
- 14. Wonham, W.M., On Pole Assignment in Multi-Input Controllable Linear Systems, *IEEE Trans. Auto*mat. Control, 1967, vol. AC-12, no. 6, pp. 660–665.
- 15. Zaitsev, V.A., Spectrum Control in Linear Systems with Incomplete Feedback, *Differential Equations*, 2009, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 1348–1357.

### DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS Vol. 46 No. 7 2010